Approved For Release 2003/05/05 : CIA-RDP80B01676R000600120025-8

				<u>and the second of the second </u>	<u> </u>	
25X1						
	1					
	•					
*						
	ł					
	 전 설명					
·	. 19 ₅ .					
	· [
	1					
9						
	ı					

Approved For Release 200 30301 CIA-RDP80E 018 203 301 120025-8

Russian Missile Output Again Falls Short of U.S. Estimates

By PHIL GOULDING Plain Dealer Bureau

mates of current Soviet mis-recent administrations. sile strength indicate that Russia has once again failed to produce as anticipated.

U.S. officials now believe that the Communists have apballistic weapons, give or take a few.

This is substantially short of the figure predicted for the Soviet Union as recently as early this year.

then ranged from 200 to 250 for June or July.

CONSISTENTLY over the 1963. last decade, U.S. intelligence Communist production of stra- to 100. tegic weapons systems—the

that all intelligence predictions tend to be on the high side, partly for "insurance" reasons.

But in estimates of Soviet sea.

strategic strength, the intel- American sources also ques-|see a vast threat from the

DISPASSIONATE Pentagon tioned. sources noted last night:

nal of some 300 to 600 ICBM's make. Official American estimates by the middle of last year.

Three years ago, some pre-

experts have overestimated officials said, was much closer few hundred miles the sub-

big bombers and long-range day has 120 liquid-fueled At-THE UNITED STATES tomissiles able to reach the las missiles, 108 Titans and United States.

May has 120 Mills and Las missiles, 108 Titans and more than 600 solid-fueled Defense officials point out Minutemen—plus 256 Polaris weapons capable of being launched by nuclear-powered submarines lying beneath the

It was wrong on the missile solid-fueled missiles, which of the United States.

gap, which never developed. cannot handle as big a war
There are some 1,100 bomb-Five years ago, authorities head but are much more de-ers in America's Strategic were speculating that the pendable, easier to maintain, Air Command. Russians would have an arse-quicker to fire and cheaper to

dictions were still running as lieved to have about 150 bal-don't realize that they are in high as 500 missiles by mid-listic missiles which can be an arms race." launched from submarines-The actual count a year ago, but the range is only a very marines are not nuclear powered and they must fire from the surface.

Nor do American planners

ligence community has not tion the dependability of the Soviet bomber fleet. Defense WASHINGTON — Newest tisan statement; it applies Soviet weapons—just as the Secretary Robert S. Mc-American intelligence estiequally to estimates under all dependability of American Namara has said that Rusliquid-fueled missiles is ques-sia could place only about 100 heavy bombers over the For years, United States in- United States (on two-way) The intelligence "commu-telligence people have been missions), plus another 150 nity" was wrong on the bomb-expecting the Russians to medium bombers over Canaproximately 180 long-range er gap, which never developed start producing the superior da and the northwest portion

"The plain fact is," said one top Pentagon official last THE RUSSIANS are be- night, "that the Russians just

Missile Output Of Reds Falls Under Estimate

Intelligence Says Fewer Than 200 Are Ready to Fire

By RICHARD FRYKLUND

Star Staff Writer

once again has failed to build the number of ICBMs predicted force is so poorly trained and the annual American equipped that the U.S.

As a consequence, officials Strategic Air Command would say, American missile plans not consider any of the planes probably will be adjusted or crews "operational."

Since the wild days of the "missile gap" predictions, the force expected.

The last annual American in January, said (the exact security reasons) that the flights, all of them within the Russians would have 200 to 250 Covict Union. The January, 1963, estimate for July of this year had been even missile forces are much more higher.

Today, three months beyond the Russians are considered to have fewer than 200 ready ICBMs.

Estimates Cut

estimates for future Russian standards. ICBM deployment are now being cut.

The long-range predictions, which will be may recompleted toward the end of force. this year, are expected, as and concede several hundred-but well under

Communist long-range bomber

force, makes the Soviet Union a far less formidable adversary than has been predicted during the last five years.

But the United States in the last five years has exceeded its old plans.

As a result, the Russians are so far behind they apparently are not even racing.

The Russian bomber force is s o small that intelligence " New intelligence figures officers doubt that 100 bombers indicate that the Soviet Union could make it to the United could make it to the United States and hope to return. The

Forces Vulnerable

SAC has found that a bomber Soviet Union never has built the crew that does not fly frequent practice missions all over the The last annual American world cannot be counted on to estimate, passed on to Congress find a war-time target in an in January said (the expectation) unfamilar country half a globe

> The Russian bomber and States'.

on alert but more than half of bombers now total 1,100, ICBMs SAC's are. Protection given Communist missiles is primitive 878 and sub-based ballistic and the missiles themselves are missiles 272. All of the existing long-range unreliable by American

stimates for future Russian standards.

CBM deployment are now If the Soviet Union had a estimates of Russian forces paint of the Air Force, as usual in the vulnerable, it would be assumed.

A new defense budget is being in A new defense budget is being in the results of the annual inter-agency discussions, in American intelligence worked on now in the Pentagon. is resisting the change. The estimates that the Kremlin was Army, as usual, wants the contemplating a sudden first with the intelligence estimates estimate reduced further than other intelligence agencies are force, however, makes little willing to go.

The resisting the change. The estimates that the Kremlin was It will go to Congress along with the intelligence estimates in January.

As part of the budget process, five-year plans for future

be may not be able to buy a better reviewed.

usual, to take a middle position missiles may be designed only Minuteman ICBM program the Russians for threats, not use.

a thousand ICBMs by 1970.

The Soviet Union also is building a submarine-launched committed to defend Europe failed to build ICBMs as missile force (smaller than its with its long-range nuclear previously predicted.

ICBM force), but again the weapons. It must, therefore, build-up is lagging behind have enough weapons to insure American intelligence the destruction of the estimates.

Communist force even in the surface of the older, poorly the content of the content

The Russian bombers are not United States long-range

The American buildup is

The Russian economy simply strategic weapons are being

Or the Russian hombers and make it sure that the planned now tentatively set at 1,200 -The United States has a will be reviewed closely. The

Text of McNamara's Statement

to Platform Group

Special to The New York Times WASHINGTON, Aug. 17—found in 1961 was one in Following is the text of the which each military service statement by Secretary of De-plans. We found the Army re-Jense Robert S. McNamara be lying on air-lift which the Committee today:

My purpose this afternoon is to review the defense policies of this Administration, to report to you and the American people on the fulfilment of the pledges made by the Kennedy-Johnson Administration in 1960, and to recommend our defense policies for the coming years.

The defense establishment we found in 1961 was based on a strategy of massive nuclear retaliation as the answer to all military and political aggression. We, howover, were convinced that our credible a strategy which even the American people did not believe.

We believed in a strategy of controlled flexible response, where the military force of the United States would become a finely tuned instru-ment of national policy, versatile enough to meet with appropriate force the full' spectrum of possible threats. to our national security from , mine the forces to be asguerrilla subversion to all- rembled.

The Defense Department we fore the Democartic Platform vide. We found the Army envisioniing a long war stockpiling supplies for as long as war, has supplies for only a few days.

We found a weapons invent-ory completely lacking in certain major elements required for combat readiness, but which also contained 270 per cent of the necessary .105 mm towed howitzers, and 290 per cent of the necessary 4.2 inch mortars. We believed in balanced, integrated, mili-tary farces equipped to respond with a level of power appropriate to the type of aggression mounted against

In 1961, we found military strategy to be the stepchild enemies would never find of a predetermined budget. A financial ceiling was placed on national security and funds were allocated not on the basis of military require-ments, but according to the dictates of an arbitrary fiscal their own destruction."

To pursue "continuous policy

While we believed that our defense forces should be pro-cured and operated at the lowest possible cost, we were convinced that only the safety of the country should deter-

out nuclear war.

The strategic nuclear force we found in the defense department was vulnerable to surprise missile attack. The normuclear force we found was weak in combat-ready divisions, weak in airlift caps-bility, weak in tactical air support.

Î'ne counterinsurgency' forces were, for all practical purposes, nonexistent. We believed that the United States must be supreme in all types of military force to meet all types of aggression across the entire spectrum of modernday conflict.

That is why, in 1960. Presidents Kennedy and Johnson plcdged:

To recast our military capability in order to provide "forces and weapons of a diversity, balance and mobility sufficient in quantity and quality to deter both limited

and general aggression."
. To create "deterrent military power such that the Soviet and Chinese leaders will have no doubt that an attack on the United States would surely be followed by

To pursue modernization of our forces through intensified research and development, including essential programs slowed down, terminated, suspended. or neglected for lack of budgetary support."

tiwe instructions which President Johnson has strongly reemphasized:

Wirst, develop the military structure necessary for a solid foundation for our foreign policy, and do this without regard to arbitrary or predetermined budget ceil-

"Second having deter-mined that force structure, procure and operate it at the

lowest possible cost.
In the first State of the
Union Message to the Congress, President Kennedy said:

"I have instructed the Secretary of Defense to re-appraise our entire defense strategy—our ability to ful-fill our commitments—the effectiveness, vulnerability, and dispersal of our strategic bases, forces and warning systems—the efficiency and economy of our operation and organization-the elimination of obsolete bases and installations -- and the adequacy. modernization and mobility of our present conventional and nuclear forces and weapons systems in the light of the present and future dangers."

Keeping of Pledges

Under the direction of Presidents Kennedy and Johnson, with the cooperation of the Congress, with the support of the leaders of both political on to all sembled. When I became Secretary parties, aided by dedicated and able assistants in and approved For Release 2003/05/05 CARDESUS 1576R0006 Secretary parties, aided by dedicated and able assistants in and able assistants in and approved For Release 2003/05/05 CARDESUS 1576R0006 Secretary parties, aided by dedicated and able assistants in and able assistants in and approved For Release 2003/05/05 CARDESUS 1576R0006 Secretary parties, aided by dedicated and able assistants in an and able assistants in an analysis and ab

Continued

ple, we have been able to keep these pledges.

We have vastly increased military targets as its prede-our strategic nuclear and Approxed. For Release 2003/0 conventional alreagth. Since These, and other new

January 1961, we have attained:

GA 150 per cent increase in. the number of nuclear warheads and a 200 per cent increase in total megatonnage in our strategic alert forces.

IA 60 per cent increase in the tactical nuclear force in Western Europe.

9A 45 per cent increase in the number of combat-ready Army divisions.

SA 44 per cent increase in the number of tactical fighter squadrons.

9A 75 per cent increase in aircraft capability.

TA 100 per cent increase in ship construction to modernize our fleet

SAn. 800 per cent increase in the special forces trained for counterinsurgency.
To appreciate the full ex-

tent of this force, we must contrast it to that of our principal adversary. By such a test, our strategic superiority is uncontestible.

Our strategic alert forces now have 1,100 bombers, including 550 on 15-minute alert, equipped with decoy missiles and other penetration aids to assure that they will reach their targets.

The Soviet Union could, with difficulty, place over this country on two-way missions slightly more than 100 heavy bombers, plus 150 medium bombers capable of striking only Canada and the morthwestern corner of the United States.

We no whave more than 800 fully armed, dependable ICBM's deployed on launchers. almost all in hardened and dispersed silos. The Soviet Union has fewer than conc-fourth this number, and fewer still in hardened silos.

Our Navy now has 256 Polaris missiles deployed in 16 submarines: 25 more Polaris submarines are under construction. The Soviet Union's submarine - launched ballistic missile fleet is, by accomparison, small and in-

· Each of our Polaris missiles is carried in a nuclearpowered submarine—but only a small percentage of Soviet ballistic missile submarines liave nuclear power.

Each of our Polaris missiles. can be launched from beneath the surface. The Soviet's have no such operational missile.

Each of our Polaris missiles has a range of 1,500 miles or more. The range of Soviet submarine - launched missiles is less than one-third as much.

Products Of Efforts

The power of these forces will soon be further increased : by the addition of the new Polaris A-3 missile and the new Minuteman II.

The Minuteman II is as

against the best-protected military targets as its prede-

These, and weapons developments, are products of our continuing efforts to keep the pledge we made in 1960 and to make certain, in President Johnson's words, "that the United States is, and will remain, first in the use of science and technology for the protection of its people."

We have, in fact, increased by 50 per cent expenditures for military research and development over the level prevailing during the last four years of the previous Administration. We have initiated 208 weapons research projects, including 77 costing \$10 million or more each.

I would like to mention just a few of the new projects and new weapons systems initiated or carried to completion during this Administration:

The SR-71, a long-range, manned, supersonic strategic military reconnaissance aircraft, which employs the most advanced observation equipment in the world and flies at over 2,000 miles per hour and an altitude of over 80,-

The new Nike-X, which will give us the option to deploy - if the national security requires it—the most advanced anti-ballistic missile

yet conceived by any nation.
The new A-7-A aircraft, which will give the Navy superior attack capability at more than double the range of the A-4-E that it will replace.

The Ex-10, a heavy, new type of torpedo for use against deep-diving, fast, nuclear-sub marines.

The new main battle tank, which will give our ground armor forces superiority throughout the 1970's.

The revolutionary variable sweep-winged F-III fighter-bomber, a supersonic air-craft which has double the range and several times the payload of any previous fighter-bomber.

Let me assure you that our strategic forces are and will remain in the 1960's and the seventies, sufficient to insure the destruction of both the Soviet Union and Communist China, under the worst imaginable circumstances accompanying the outbreak of war. There should be no doubt that of this in the mind of any American. There is none in the minds of our enemies.

But nuclear power alone is not enough. Such power was not usable against the Soviets when they blockaded our friends in West Berlin.

Such power was not usable against Communist guerrillas in Greece in 1947. It was not usable in Malaya in 1948. It was not usable against Comunist guerrillas in the Philip-

pines in 1950. It was not duced an integrated cycle of usable to protect our destroy. duced an integrated cycle of usable to protect our destroy. duced an integrated cycle of usable to protect our destroy. duced an integrated cycle of usable to protect our destroy.

And such power is not usable against the Viet Cong guerrillas who have infiltrated South Vietnam.

Lower End of Spectrum

The effectiveness of the strategic nuclear deterrent we have assembled against our enemies has driven them to acts of political and military aggression at the lower end of the spectrum of conflict.

The Communists now seek to test our capacity, our patience and our will to resist at the lower end of the spectrum by crawling under the nuclear defenses of the free world.

The threat that Castro presents to Latin America and the challenge before us today in South Vietnam lies not in nuclear war, but in the twilight zone of guerrilla terrorism and subversion.

To deal with this form of political and military aggres-sion and similar acts of violence which are less than ally out war, since 1961:

9We have increased the

regular strength of the Army by 100,000 men, and the number of combat-ready divisions from 11 to 16.

TWe have raised the number of tactical fighter squadrons from 5 to 79.

We have trained over 100,-000 officers in counter-insurgency skills necessary to fight guerrilla and anti-guerrilla warfare.

We have put into production the new C-141 Starlifter, which will, by 1968, increase our airlift by 400 per cent over what we had in 1961.

What I have just described is an aggregation of force without parallel in human history. As President Johnson has said, we, as well as our adversaries, must stand in awe before the power our craft has created and our wisdom must labor to control."

To create and maintain such a force has required the investment of \$30 billion more for the fiscal years 1962-1965 than would have been spent had we continued at the level of the last defense budget of the previous Administration.

To create and maintain such a force requires natural resources, scientific ingenuity, industrial complexes, and millions of Americans dedicated to the security of this coun-

try and the free world.

To harness this wide array of human and material resources, and to form them into usable power requires an exceedingly precise degree of control. The engine of defense must be so harnessed that its vast power may be unleashed. to the precise degree required by whatever threat we face. In January, 1961, we intro-it

total military requirements. Our national strategy, the military force structure, the war plans and the defense budget are now all related one to another.

Today, our entire desense effort is planned as a unified whole. This system eliminates wasteful duplication. It weeds out programs which have lost their original promise, freeing resources for more profitable application in other areas.

Through it, we have been able to provide and maintain a balanced, flexible force capable of meeting any challenge, at the lowest possible

The determination to maintain the necessary military force for our national security without regard to arbitrary budgets does not mean that we must discard either com-mon sense or prudent management. True economy is not really the product of arbi-trary budget ceilings. It never has been. True economy in building the nation's defense consists in:

Buying only what we need. SBuying at the lowest sound price.

And reducing operating

In the absense of these precepts, our reconstituted defense force would have cost many billions of dollars more than the \$50 billion that we have been required to invest each year. By following these precepts, we have:

4Saved \$2.5 billion in fiscal year 1964 alone, \$1 billion more than our original goal.

9Set a goal of future savings of \$4.6 billion each year, every year, beginning in fis-cal year 1968.

TReduced annual operating costs by \$568 million by terminating operations at obsolete and surplus military

bases. Turned back to the private sector of our economy 1,100 square miles of real estate which is now tax-producing instead of tax-consum-

We could not have instituted the integrated system (by which we have increased our strength without the wholehearted cooperation and support of our men and women in uniform.

Neither this system - nor any system -- will ever be a substitute for sound military, judgment. Under this Administration, as never before, professional military judgment-from all four services has been a critical factor in the planning of our defense strategy.

As General Taylor, former; Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, stated in 1963;

Continued

"The voice of the American soldier is entitled to a serious hearing in our national councils — and I am hap-py to report that today he receives that hearing."

Judgment and Techniques

Mr. Chairman, as you and I know, it is only by combining the best military judgment in the world and the most advanced scientific and analytical techniques, that we have been able to create and control the balanced, flexible

forces now at our disposal.

Development of the greatest military power in human history — with a capability to respond to every level of aggression across the entire spectrum of conflict— is beyond question the most similifact achievement in the significant achievement in the defense establishment during our years in office.

Having placed this vast power at the disposal of the President of the United States, we have also given him the means to control it.

For, his is an awesome re-Union, lasting less than one clear era. As President John-hour, would kill almost 100 son has said: million war II's. There would be little comfort in knowing that over 100 million Russians would also be killed.

The awesome responsibility to unleash such force, I believe, can rest only on the highest elected official in this country—the President of the United States

This is why we have devoted such talent and energy to bring nuclear weapons under the actual, as well as theoretical, control of the President.

Our best scientists have created the most secure and the most dependable communications and control system conceived by man. Every step from the first command to the final firing is participated in by two or more people following intricate and highly secret procedures. Each of these procedures is personally approved by the President himself.

We in defense will spare no energy to make certain that the President of the United States-and he alone has complete control over the dispatch of our nuclear weapons.

I consider the provisions of this control to the President my most solemn obligation as Secetary of Defense.

I believe this has also been the view of every United sponsibility. A full-scale nuclear exchange between the tary of State and every Secreunited States and the Soviet tary of Defense in the number of Defense in the numbe

million Americans — the "I believe that the final equivalent of over 300 World responsibility for all decisions on nuclear weapons must rest with the civilian head of this Government, the President of the United States. And I have believe that is the way the American people want it."

And this is the first pledge that I would recom-

mend we make to the American people in 1964.